## CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Operating leases
At 31 December 2014, the group was committed to making the following payments in respect of operating leases for, amongst others, the hire of plant and equipment and land and buildings. Certain contracts contain renewal options and escalation clauses for various periods of time.

**Expiry:**
- within one year  
  - 2014: 8  
  - 2013: 18  
  - 2012: 22
- between one and two years  
  - 2014: 2  
  - 2013: 8  
  - 2012: 3
- between two and five years  
  - 2014: 4  
  - 2013: 6  
  - 2012: 4
- after five years  
  - 2014: 3  
  - 2013: 3  
  - 2012: 3

Operating lease charges included in profit before taxation amounts to $19m (2013: $34m; 2012: $42m).

### Finance leases
The group has finance leases for plant and equipment and buildings. The leases for plant and equipment and buildings have terms of renewal but no purchase options. Future minimum lease payments under finance lease contracts together with the present value of the net minimum lease payments are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within one year</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After one year but not more than five years</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than five years</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total minimum lease payments</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts representing finance charges</td>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value of minimum lease payments</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Capital commitments

#### Acquisition of tangible assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracted for</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>1,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not contracted for</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>2,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorised by the directors</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>1,510</td>
<td>3,317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Allocated to:**
- Project capital  
  - within one year  
    - 2014: 430  
    - 2013: 431  
    - 2012: 1,092
  - thereafter  
    - 2014: 335  
    - 2013: 714  
    - 2012: 1,708
- Stay-in-business capital  
  - within one year  
    - 2014: 181  
    - 2013: 365  
    - 2012: 517
  - Share of underlying capital commitments of joint ventures included above  
    - 2014: 49  
    - 2013: 185  
    - 2012: 749

#### Purchase obligations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracted for</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not contracted for</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorised by the directors</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purchase obligations continued
Purchase obligations represent contractual obligations for the purchase of mining contract services, power, supplies, consumables, inventories, explosives and activated carbon.

To service these capital commitments, purchase obligations and other operational requirements, the group is dependent on existing cash resources, cash generated from operations and borrowing facilities.

Cash generated from operations is subject to operational, market and other risks. Distributions from operations may be subject to foreign investment, exchange control laws and regulations, and the quantity of foreign exchange available in offshore countries. In addition, distributions from joint ventures are subject to the relevant board approval.

The credit facilities and other finance arrangements contain financial covenants and other similar undertakings. To the extent that external borrowings are required, the group’s covenant performance indicates that existing financing facilities will be available to meet the commitments detailed above. To the extent that any of the financing facilities mature in the near future, the group believes that sufficient measures are in place to ensure that these facilities can be refinanced.

Contingencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guarantee and contingencies</th>
<th>Liabilities included in the statement of financial position</th>
<th>Liabilities included in the statement of financial position</th>
<th>Liabilities included in the statement of financial position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater pollution (1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep groundwater pollution - Africa (2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigation - Ghana (3)(4)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Diseases in Mines and Works Act (ODMWA) litigation (5)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other tax disputes - AngloGold Ashanti Brasil Mineração Ltda (6)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales tax on gold deliveries - Mineração Serra Grande S.A. (7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT disputes - Mineração Serra Grande S.A. (8)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax dispute - AngloGold Ashanti Colombia S.A. (9)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax dispute - Cerro Vanguardia S.A. (10)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indemnity - Kinross Gold Corporation (11)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(60)</td>
<td>(90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalty - Tau Lekoa Gold Mine (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalty - Navachab Mine QKR (13)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guarantees

Financial guarantees

Oro Group (Pty) Limited (14) | 9                                                          | 10                                                          | 12                                                          |

551                                                          | 453                                                        | 296                                                        |
Contingent liabilities

(1) Groundwater pollution - AngloGold Ashanti Limited has identified groundwater contamination plumes at certain of its operations, which have occurred primarily as a result of seepage from mine residue stockpiles. Numerous scientific, technical and legal studies have been undertaken to assist in determining the magnitude of the contamination and to find sustainable remediation solutions. The group has instituted processes to reduce future potential seepage and it has been demonstrated that Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) by the existing environment will contribute to improvements in some instances. Furthermore, literature reviews, field trials and base line modelling techniques suggest, but have not yet proven, that the use of phytotechnologies can address the soil and groundwater contamination. Subject to the completion of trials and the technology being a proven remediation technique, no reliable estimate can be made for the obligation.

(2) Deep groundwater pollution - The group has identified a flooding and future pollution risk posed by deep groundwater in certain underground mines in Africa. Various studies have been undertaken by AngloGold Ashanti Limited since 1999. Due to the interconnected nature of mining operations, any proposed solution needs to be a combined one supported by all the mines located in these gold fields. As a result, in South Africa, the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA) requires that the affected mining companies develop a Regional Mine Closure Strategy to be approved by the Department of Mineral Resources. In view of the limitation of current information for the accurate estimation of a liability, no reliable estimate can be made for the obligation.

(3) Litigation - On 11 October 2011, AngloGold Ashanti (Ghana) Limited (AGAG) terminated Mining and Building Contractors Limited’s (MBC) underground development agreement, construction on bulkheads agreement and diamond drilling agreement at Obuasi mine. The Parties reached agreement on the terms of the separation and concluded a separation agreement on 8 November 2012. On 23 July 2013 and 20 February 2014, AGAG was served with writs issued by MBC claiming a total of $97m. AGAG filed a conditional entry of appearance and a motion of stay of proceedings pending arbitration. On 5 May 2014, the court denied AGAG’s application to submit the matter to arbitration. AGAG subsequently appealed this decision to the Court of Appeal and filed a Stay of Proceedings at the lower court. The proceedings at the lower court were granted on 11 June 2014. On 2 October 2014, AGAG was notified that the records had been transmitted to the Court of Appeal. However, as the transmitted records were incomplete, AGAG timely filed an application for the record to be amended prior to filing its statement of case. The matter remains pending.

(4) Litigation - AGAG received a summons on 2 April 2013 from Abdul Waliyu and 152 others in which the plaintiffs allege that they were or are residents of the Obuasi municipality or its suburbs and that their health has been adversely affected by emissions and/or other environmental impacts arising in connection with the current and/or historical operations of the Pompora Treatment Plant (PTP) which was decommissioned in 2000. The plaintiffs’ alleged injuries include respiratory infections, skin diseases and certain cancers. The plaintiffs have not filed their application for directions which was due by 31 October 2013. AGAG is allowing some time to pass prior to applying to have the matter struck out for want of prosecution. On 24 February 2014, executive members of the PTP (AGAG) Smoke Effect Association (PASEA), sued AGAG by themselves and on behalf of their members (undisclosed number) on grounds similar to those discussed above, as well as economic hardships as a result of constant failure of their crops. To date, plaintiffs have failed to amend their writ and file their statement of claim. In view of the limitation of current information for the accurate estimation of a liability, no reliable estimate can be made for AGAG’s obligation in either matter.

(5) Occupational Diseases in Mines and Works Act (ODMWA) litigation – On 3 March 2011, in Mankayi vs. AngloGold Ashanti, the Constitutional Court of South Africa held that section 35(1) of the Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act, 1993 does not cover an “employee” who qualifies for compensation in respect of “compensable diseases” under the Occupational Diseases in Mines and Works Act, 1973 (ODMWA). This judgement allows such qualifying employee to pursue a civil claim for damages against the employer. Following the Constitutional Court decision, AngloGold Ashanti has become subject to numerous claims relating to silicosis and other Occupational Lung Diseases (OLD), including several potential class actions and individual claims.
On 21 August 2013, an application was served on AngloGold Ashanti for the consolidation of the Balakazi Action and the Nkala Action, as well as a request for an amendment to change the scope of the classes. The applicants now request certification of two classes (the "silicosis class" and the "tuberculosis class"). The silicosis class consists of certain current and former underground mineworkers who have contracted silicosis, and the dependents of certain deceased mineworkers who have died of silicosis (whether or not accompanied by any other disease). The tuberculosis class would consist of certain current and former mineworkers who have or had contracted pulmonary tuberculosis and the dependents of certain deceased mineworkers who died of pulmonary tuberculosis (but excluding silico-tuberculosis).

In the event the class is certified, such class of workers would be permitted to institute actions by way of a summons against AngloGold Ashanti for amounts as yet unspecified. The parties in the class action met with the court and have tentatively agreed on a timetable for the court process wherein the application to certify the class action will be heard in October 2015.

In October 2012, AngloGold Ashanti received a further 31 individual summonses and particulars of claim relating to silicosis and/or other OLD. The total amount claimed in the 31 summonses is approximately $7m as at the 31 December 2014 closing rate. On or about 3 March 2014, AngloGold Ashanti received an additional 21 individual summonses and particulars of claim relating to silicosis and/or other OLD. The total amount claimed in the 21 summonses is approximately $4m as at the 31 December 2014 closing rate. On or about 24 March 2014, AngloGold Ashanti received a further 686 individual summonses and particulars of claim relating to silicosis and/or other OLD. The total amount claimed in the 686 summonses is approximately $100m as at the 31 December 2014 closing rate. On or about 1 April 2014, AngloGold Ashanti received a further 518 individual summonses and particulars of claim relating to silicosis and/or other OLD. The total amount claimed in the 518 summonses is approximately $81m as at the 31 December 2014 closing rate.

On 9 October 2014, AngloGold Ashanti and the plaintiffs’ attorneys agreed to refer all of the individual claims to arbitration. The court proceedings have been suspended as a result of entering into the arbitration agreement.

It is possible that additional class actions and/or individual claims relating to silicosis and/or other OLD will be filed against AngloGold Ashanti in the future. AngloGold Ashanti will defend all current and subsequently filed claims on their merits. Should AngloGold Ashanti be unsuccessful in defending any such claims, or in otherwise favourably resolving perceived deficiencies in national occupational disease compensation frameworks that were identified in the earlier decision by the Constitutional Court, such matters would have an adverse effect on its financial position, which could be material. The company is unable to reasonably estimate its share of the amounts claimed.

Other tax disputes - In November 2007, the Departamento Nacional de Produção Mineral (DNPM), a Brazilian federal mining authority, issued a tax assessment against AngloGold Ashanti Brazil Mineração Ltda (AABM) in the amount of $18m (2013: $19m; 2012: $21m) relating to the calculation and payment by AABM of the financial contribution on mining exploitation (CFEM) in the period from 1991 to 2006. AngloGold Ashanti Limited’s subsidiaries in Brazil are involved in various other disputes with tax authorities. These disputes involve federal tax assessments including income tax, royalties, social contributions and annual property tax. The amount involved is approximately $14m (2013: $19m; 2012: $17m). Management is of the opinion that these taxes are not payable.

Sales tax on gold deliveries – In 2006, Mineração Serra Grande S.A. (MSG) received two tax assessments from the State of Minas Gerais related to VAT on gold bullion deliveries. MSG disputes the assessments, noting that they are inconsistent with the definition of taxable transactions under Brazilian law. MSG has filed appeals against the assessments and is awaiting a decision. The total amount claimed in the 2 assessments is approximately $62m and $39m as at 31 December 2013 and $96m and $60m as at 31 December 2012, respectively. Various legal proceedings have taken place over the years with respect to this matter, as previously disclosed. On 5 May 2014, the State of Goiás published a law which enables companies to settle outstanding tax assessments of this nature. Under this law, MSG settled the two assessments in May 2014 by paying $14m in cash and by utilising $29m of existing VAT credits. The utilisation of the VAT credits is subject to legal confirmation from the State of Goiás. Although the State has not yet provided confirmation, management has concluded that the likelihood of the State of Goiás declining the utilisation of the VAT credits or part thereof is remote. The cash settlement was further set off by an indemnity from Knross of $6m.

VAT disputes - MSG received a tax assessment in October 2003 from the State of Minas Gerais related to VAT on gold bullion transfers. The tax administrators rejected the company’s appeal against the assessment. The company is now appealing the dismissal of the case. The assessment is approximately $15m (2013: $16m; 2012: $19m).

Tax dispute - In January 2013, AngloGold Ashanti Colombia S.A. (AGAC) received notice from the Colombian Tax Office (DIAN) that it disagreed with the company’s tax treatment of certain items in the 2010 and 2011 income tax returns. On 23 October 2013, AGAC received the official assessments from the DIAN which established that an estimated additional tax of $27m (2013: $35m; 2012: $26m) will be payable if the tax returns are amended. Penalties and interest for the additional taxes are expected to be $39m (2013: $53m; 2012: $35m), based on Colombian tax law. The company believes that it has applied the tax legislation correctly. AGAC requested in December 2013 that the DIAN reconsider its decision. In November 2014, DIAN affirmed its earlier ruling. AGAC has until 16 April 2015 to challenge the DIAN’s decision by filing a lawsuit before the Administrative Tribunal of Cundinamarca (trial court for tax litigation).

Tax dispute - On 12 July 2013, Cerro Vanguardia S.A. received a notification from the Argentina Tax Authority (AFIP) requesting corrections to the 2007, 2008 and 2009 income tax returns of about $14m (2013: $18m) relating to the non-deduction of tax losses previously claimed on hedge contracts. The AFIP is of the view that the financial derivatives at issue should not have been accounted for as hedge contracts, as hedge contract losses could only be offset against gains derived from the same kind of hedging contracts. Penalties and interest on the disputed amounts are estimated at a further $9m (2013: $45m). An appeal notification was received on 16 July 2014, and on 29 July CVSA’s initial response. CVSA prepared defense arguments and evidence which were filed on 8 September 2014. Management is of the opinion that the taxes are not payable. The government responded to the latest submission by CVSA on 22 December 2014, and continues to assert its position regarding the use of the financial derivatives. CVSA prepared a response to the government’s findings which was filed on 9 March 2015.
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Contingent assets

(11) Indemnity - As part of the acquisition by AngloGold Ashanti Limited of the remaining 50% interest in MSG during June 2012, Kinross Gold Corporation (Kinross) has provided an indemnity to a maximum amount of BRL255m against the specific exposures discussed in items 7 and 8 above. In light of the settlement described in item 7 above at 31 December 2014, the company has estimated that the maximum contingent asset is $9m (2013: $60m; 2012: $90m).

(12) Royalty - As a result of the sale of the interest in the Tau Lekoa Gold Mine during 2010, the group is entitled to receive a royalty on the production of a total of 1.5Moz by the Tau Lekoa Gold Mine and in the event that the average monthly rand price of gold exceeds R180,000/kg (subject to an inflation adjustment), the ounces produced in that quarter do not count towards the total 1.5Moz upon which the royalty is payable. The royalty is determined at 3% of the net revenue (being gross revenue less state royalties) generated by the Tau Lekoa assets. Royalties on 507,471oz (2013: 413,246oz; 2012: 304,643oz) produced have been received to date.

(13) Royalty – As a result of the sale of Navachab during the second quarter of 2014, AngloGold Ashanti will receive a net smelter return paid quarterly for seven years from 1 July 2016, determined at 2% of ounces sold during the relevant quarter subject to a minimum average gold price of $1,350 and capped at a maximum of 18,750 ounces sold per quarter.

Guarantees

(14) Provision of surety - The company has provided surety in favour of a lender on a gold loan facility with its associate Oro Group (Pty) Limited and one of its subsidiaries to a maximum value of $9m (2013: $10m; 2012: $12m). The probability of the non-performance under the suretyships is considered minimal. The suretyship agreements have a termination notice period of 90 days.